

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,454	03/26/2004		James Kevin Hurson	016864-0118	7662
22428	7590	08/18/2004		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AN	ND LARI	ONER	NOLAND,	NOLAND, THOMAS	
SUITE 500 3000 K STR	EET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	TON, DC	20007	2856		
				DATE MAILED: 08/18/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/809,454	HURSON, JAMES	S KEVIN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas P. Noland	2856	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence ac	Idress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered time THS from the mailing date of this c ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) T 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matte	• •	e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 7-16 and 23-25 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with description of the above claim(s) is/are with description of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 7-16 and 23-25 are subject to restrem of the specification is objected to by the Example of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	drawn from consideration. riction and/or election requirer liner. accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyan- rection is required if the drawing(by the Examiner. ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 C	· ·
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ents have been received. ents have been received in Aportionity documents have been received in Aportionity documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) \[\sum \] Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🖂 Internitorio	IIIImmary (PTO 442)	
 Notice of References Cited (P10-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PT0/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03262004</u>. 	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO 	O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/809,454

Art Unit: 2856

1. The preliminary amendment filed March 26, 2004 has been entered.

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Page 2

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C.121:
 - Claims 7-16 and 25, drawn to methods for continuously monitoring road surface friction or repeatedly testing road surface conditions, classified in class 73, subclass 9.
 - II. Claim 23, drawn to a device for applying a vertical force to a surface friction measuring test wheel, classified in class 73, subclass 9.
 - III. Claim 24, drawn to a method for applying a vertical force to a surface friction measuring test wheel to measure a friction condition of said surface, classified in class 73, subclass 9.
- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions Group 1 and Groups 2-3 considered together are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)).

Art Unit: 2856

In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because group 1 either does not require biasing as in groups 2-3 and even when such is required the biasing could be opposed to gravity so that the wheel has lighter contact with the surface, etc. The subcombination has separate utility such as use in a friction measuring wheel without necessarily braking, accelerating and/or analyzing data as in group 1, etc.

- 5. Inventions Group 3 and Group 2 are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as one where running kinetic friction is determined and not a slip condition as in group 2.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group 1 is not required for Groups 2-3, etc., restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 2856

8. If group 1 is elected or if groups 2 or 3 is elected with traverse of the restriction out of Group 1 the following species election must also be made. (If in response to an election of group 2 or 3 with traverse the elected species must be consistent with the elected group).

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: The first species of group 1 is one where increasing resistance to a test wheel is applied as set forth as an alternative embodiment on page 13, lines 5-6 and is currently believed to be claimed in claims 7, 12 and 25. The second species is one where an accelerative force is applied as set forth as another alternative on page 13, lines 5-6 and is currently believes to be claimed in claims 8 and 13. The 3rd species is one where a fixed resistance is used as set forth on page 17, lines 29 - page 19, line 23 and is currently believed to be claimed in claims 10 and 15. The 4th species is one where a plurality of increasing predetermined resistances are used as set forth on page 21, lines 4-9 and is currently believed to be claims 11 and 16.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 9 and 14 of group 1 appear to be generic to that group.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a

listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tom Noland whose telephone number is (571) 272-2202. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 9:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Hezron E. Williams, can be reached on (571) 272-2208.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

tpn Aug. 16, 2004 Thomas P. Noland Primary Examiner Art Unit 2856

Thom Neld